

## Problem 7

Osama K Mahmood

May 2016

**Problem 7 (2.5): Solve the equations for the birth process with:**

$$\lambda_x = \lambda + yx, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

**and the initial conditions**  $P_1(0) = 1, P_x(0) = 0$ , **for**  $x \neq 1$ .

Using the definition of  $\lambda_x$  in the birth process, we can directly, state that:

$$\frac{dP_x(t)}{dt} = -\lambda_x P_x(t) + \lambda_{x-1} P_{x-1}(t) \quad (2)$$

And using the equation (20) we can write that:

$$\frac{dP_x(t)}{dt} = -(\lambda + yx)P_x(t) + (\lambda + (x-1)y)P_{x-1}(t) \quad (3)$$

Substituting  $x = 1$  we get:

$$\frac{dP_1(t)}{dt} = -(\lambda + y)P_1(t) \rightarrow P_1(t) = C_1 e^{-(\lambda+y)t} \quad (4)$$

And using the initial condition given in the problem, we can determine  $P_1(t)$ :

$$P_1(t) = e^{-(\lambda+y)t} \quad (5)$$

Now if we plug  $x = 2$  in equation (22), we will get:

$$\frac{dP_2(t)}{dt} = -(\lambda + 2y)P_2(t) + (\lambda + y)P_1(t) \quad (6)$$

And by substituting the value of  $P_1(t)$  we get:

$$\frac{dP_2(t)}{dt} = -(\lambda + 2y)P_2(t) + (\lambda + y)e^{-(\lambda+y)t} \quad (7)$$

Solving the ODE in equation (26) gives us the solution to  $P_2(t)$ :

$$P_2(t) = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{y}\right) e^{-(\lambda+y)t} [1 - e^{-yt}] \quad (8)$$

Similarly, by using equation (22) we can plug  $x = 3$  to get the ODE and then solve it for  $P_3(t)$  to get:

$$P_3(t) = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2y}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{y}\right)e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^2 \quad (9)$$

In the very similar fashion we can solve for  $P_4(t)$  to get:

$$P_4(t) = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{3y}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2y}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{y}\right)e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^3 \quad (10)$$

Now we make the following claim about  $P_x(t)$ :

$$P_x(t) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{x-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^{x-1} \quad (11)$$

Hence we will use induction to prove that the definition for  $P_x(t)$  is the solution to equation (22). Hence assuming the solution true for  $x = k$  we will prove it true for  $x = k + 1$ . Therefore, we need to show that:

$$\frac{dP_{k+1}(t)}{dt} = -(\lambda + (k+1)y)P_{k+1}(t) + (\lambda + ky)P_k(t) \quad (12)$$

Putting  $x = k + 1$  in equation (30) and differentiating, we get L.H.S.(31):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP_{k+1}(t)}{dt} &= -(\lambda + y)\left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^k \\ &\quad + (\lambda + y)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^{k-1}(e^{-yt}) \end{aligned}$$

Now we will solve the the Right-Hand-Side of the equation 31:

$$\begin{aligned} R.H.S.(31) &= -(\lambda + (k+1)y)\left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^k \\ &\quad + (\lambda + ky)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

We continue by adding and subtracting the same expression from RHS(31) and therefore, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} R.H.S.(31) &= -(\lambda + (k+1)y)\left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^k \\ &\quad + (ky)\left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^k \\ &\quad + (\lambda + ky)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^{k-1} \\ &\quad - (ky)\left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^k \end{aligned}$$

By solving, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} R.H.S.(31) &= -(\lambda + y)\left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^k \\ &\quad + (\lambda + y)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{iy}\right)\right]e^{-(\lambda+y)t}[1 - e^{-yt}]^{k-1}(e^{-yt}) \end{aligned}$$

And we have proven that  $LHS(31) = RHS(31)$ , which shows that equation (30) is indeed the solution.